## Column-Width Optimization <br> Quinten Kent

## Definitions

An instance of the Column-Width Optimization (COL-OPT) problem consists of the following:

- A row by column $(r \times c)$ matrix $T$, where each cell in $T$ is empty or holds a sequence of characters
- A $c \times c$ constraint matrix $C$, where each cell is $C$ holds a non-negative integer.

A solution to COL-OPT is given by the $c$-length vector $\vec{w}$, where $\vec{w}$ :

- Gives the widths for each column in $T$ such that the length of table $T$ is minimal. The length of $T$ is given by the sum of the length of each row. The length of a row is given by the maximum value of a cell divided by its assigned column width for all cells in the row.
$\operatorname{len}(T)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \max _{j=1}^{c}\lceil\operatorname{len}(T[i][j]) / \vec{w}[j]\rceil$
- Assigns each column a width of at least 1.
for $1 \leq i \leq c, \vec{w}[i]>0$.
- Satisfies constraint matrix $C$ so that if $C[i][j]$ is non-zero, the sum of all column weights from $i$ to $j$ is equal to $C[i][j]$.
for $1 \leq i \leq c, i \leq j \leq c$, if $C[i][j]$ is non-zero, $\sum_{k=i}^{j} \vec{w}[k]=C[i][j]$.
From this definition of $\vec{w}$, constraint matrix $C$ must give a valid total width for the table. $C[1][c] \geq c$.
Define the "3-conjunctive normal form satisfiability" problem (3-CNF-SAT) as defined in CLRS [Introduction to Algorithms, 3rd ed., p. 1082].

3-CNF-SAT defines:

- A literal in a boolean formula is an occurrence of a variable or its negation.
- A boolean formula is in conjunctive normal form, or CNF, if it is expressed as an AND of clauses, each of which is the OR of one or more literals.
- A boolean formula is in 3-conjunctive normal form, or 3-CNF, if each clause has exactly three distinct literals.

An instance of 3-CNF-SAT consists of a boolean formula $\phi$.

## Theorem

Optimization of column widths for a table given by COL-OPT is NP-hard.

## Proof

## Reduction from 3-CNF-SAT to COL-OPT

Prove that COL-OPT is NP-hard by reducing 3-CNF-SAT to it.
Let $\tau(\phi)$ be a reduction from 3-CNF-SAT to COL-OPT.
Given $m$ clauses of $n$ unique variables in $\phi$, construct a $7 m \times 2 n$ table $T$. Interpret the $2 n$ columns as representing each possible literal. Construct the rows of the table in multiples of seven for each clause $m_{l}$ in $\phi$, where each clause $m_{l}$ consists of three boolean variables.

With three boolean variables, there are $2^{3}=8$ possible truth assignments. Of the eight possible assignments, all but one will satisfy $m_{l}$. Construct a row for each of the seven truth assignments which satisfy $m_{l}$, where a cell in the table with length 2 represents a truth assignment and length 1 a false assignment. All variables not represented in clause $m_{l}$ have length 1.
For example, given the clause $\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2} \vee \neg x_{3}\right)$, construct the following 7 rows from the corresponding truth assignments:

|  | $x_{1}$ | $\neg x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $\neg x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $\neg x_{3}$ | $x_{n}$ | $\neg x_{n}$ | $<x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $<T, T, T>$ |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $<T, T, F>$ |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $<T, F, T>$ |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $<T, F, F>$ |
| 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $<F, T, T>$ |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $<F, T, F>$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $<F, F, T>$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $<F, F, F>$ |

Note that the row which is excluded is the row which corresponds to the assignment $<x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>=<F, F, T>$, since this is the only assignment which fails to satisfy the clause.

Construct the constraint matrix $C$ starting with a $2 n \times 2 n$ zero matrix. Starting with $i=1$, for $i<2 n$ and increasing $i$ in increments of 2 , let $C[i][i+1]=3$. Let $C[1][2 n]=3 n$.
This produces the matrix:

Together, the matrices $T$ and $C$ give an instance of the COL-OPT problem. This describes the reduction $\tau$ in full. Furthermore, it is evident that $\tau(\phi)$ is polynomial, since $m$ and $n$ bound the size of $\phi$ and producing the $7 m \times 2 n$ table $T$ is polynomial in terms of $m$ and $n$.

## Proof of Equivalence

To prove that $\tau$ is a valid reduction, let $T$ and $C$ be an instance of COL-OPT produced by $\tau(\phi)$, where $\phi$ is an instance of 3-CNF-SAT. Let $\vec{w}$ be the vector of column weights subject to $C$ that minimizes the length of $T$.
Following the construction of $T, \vec{w}$ consists of $n$ pairs of weights. Subject to $C$, for each pair of weights there are two possible assignments: $\langle 2,1\rangle$ or $\langle 1,2\rangle$. This forces each column pair, representing a variable and its negation, to be a valid truth assignment.

Consider the cost function len $(T)$ that $\vec{w}$ minimizes. Following the construction of $T$, each sequence of 7 rows corresponds to a clause $m_{l}$ in $\phi$, and will have cells with length 2 restricted to exactly 3 column pairs.

Since every column must have a width of at least 1, cells with length 1 have no effect on the cost function for a row. Therefore, for every 7 rows we can compute the cost entirely in terms of the 3 column pairs represented by the 3 variables in $m_{l}$.
Suppose the truth assignment given by $\vec{w}$ satisfies the clause. Following the construction of $T$, this assignment must be represented as one of the rows in the sequence. The row which matches the truth assignment will have length 1 , while all other rows have length 2 , giving the cost: $1+2 \cdot 6=13$.
On the other hand, suppose this truth assignment does not satisfy the clause. In this case, the assignment is not represented in one of the seven rows in $T$, so all rows have length 2 , giving the cost $2 \cdot 7=14$.
For $1 \leq l \leq m$, let $r_{l}=7(l-1)$ give the row index for each sequence of 7 rows. For all $r_{l}$,

$$
\operatorname{len}(T) \text { from rows }\left(r_{l}+1\right) \text { to }\left(r_{l}+7\right)= \begin{cases}13 & \text { if clause } m_{l} \text { is satisfied } \\ 14 & \text { if clause } m_{l} \text { is not satisfied }\end{cases}
$$

Note that computing the cost for each clause's rows is independent of all other clauses.
Therefore, if $\vec{w}$ minimizes the length of table $T$ globally, it also minimizes the number of clauses which are dissatisfied. Conversely, it maximizes the amount of satisfied clauses.
This gives the result that if $\phi$ is satisfiable, the length of $T$ will be exactly $13 m$, since every $m$ sequence of 7 rows must have length 13 . If the length of $T>13 m, \phi$ is not satisfiable, since at least one clause was not satisfied.
$\therefore$ if $\phi \in 3$-CNF-SAT, $\tau(\phi) \in$ COL-OPT.
Conversely, suppose $\tau(\phi) \in$ COL-OPT.
Following the definition of $\tau$ and COL-OPT, the weights $\vec{w}$ which minimize $T$ will give a length such that $13 m \leq \operatorname{len}(T) \leq 14 m$.
If $\operatorname{len}(T)=13 m$, then each sequence of 7 rows must have length 13 . Given each sequence of 7 rows, the clause that would produce this sequence can be determined following the construction in $\tau$. Furthermore this clause will be satisfied with the truth assignment given by $\vec{w}$.
$\therefore$ if $\tau(\phi) \in$ COL-OPT, $\phi \in 3$-CNF-SAT.
Therefore, since a polynomial reduction from 3-CNF-SAT to COL-OPT exists, COLOPT is NP-hard.

